

TULARE COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION

Report of Review

AUDIT RESOLUTION PROCESS

Fiscal Year (FY) 2006-07 and FY 2007-08



JOHN CHIANG
California State Controller

July 2010



JOHN CHIANG
California State Controller

July 9, 2010

Jim Vidak
County Superintendent of Schools
Tulare County Office of Education
2637 West Burrel, P.O. Box 5091
Visalia, CA 93278-5091

Dear Mr. Vidak:

The State Controller's Office reviewed the Tulare County Office of Education's (COE) audit resolution process for local education agency exceptions noted in the annual audit reports. The review covered fiscal year (FY) 2006-07 and FY 2007-08.

Our review disclosed that the Tulare COE followed its audit resolution process for FY 2006-07 and FY 2007-08. As a result, the Tulare COE was in compliance with Education Code section 41020.

If you have any questions, please contact Casandra Moore-Hudnall, Chief, Financial Audits Bureau, at (916) 322-4846.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD
Chief, Division of Audits

JVB/vb

cc: John Caudle, Assistant Superintendent, Business Services
Tulare County Office of Education
John Wilborn, Director, External Business Services
Tulare County Office of Education
Scott Hannan, Director
School Fiscal Services Division
California Department of Education
Arlene Matsuura, Education Fiscal Services Consultant
School Fiscal Services Division
California Department of Education
Dan Troy, Principal Program Budget Analyst
Education Systems, Department of Finance

Contents

Review Report

Summary	1
Background	1
Objective, Scope, and Methodology	2
Conclusion	3
Views of Responsible Official	3
Restricted Use	3

Review Report

Summary

The State Controller's Office (SCO) reviewed the Tulare County Office of Education's (COE) audit resolution process for local education agency exceptions noted in the annual audit reports for fiscal year (FY) 2006-07 and FY 2007-08. Our review disclosed that the Tulare COE followed its audit resolution process for FY 2006-07 and FY 2007-08.

Background

Education Code section 41020(n) requires the State Controller to annually select a sampling of county superintendents of schools to perform a follow-up review of the audit resolution process. Results of these reviews are reported to the Superintendent of Public Instruction and the county superintendents of the schools that were reviewed.

Furthermore, Education Code section 41020(n) states that the State Controller shall require auditors to categorize audit exceptions in the audit report in such a manner that both the county superintendent of schools and the Superintendent of Public Instruction (SPI) can discern which exceptions they are responsible for ensuring that local education agencies correct.

The Tulare COE provides coordination of educational programs and professional and financial supervision for 46 local education agencies under its direct jurisdiction. In addition, the county superintendent of schools maintains special schools and programs countywide independent of the local education agencies.

County superintendents of schools are required to do the following:

- Review, for each of their school districts, the audit exceptions relating to attendance, inventory of equipment, internal control, and any miscellaneous items, and determine whether the findings have been corrected or an acceptable plan of correction has been developed (Education Code section 41020(i)(1));
- Review audit exceptions related to instructional materials program funds, teacher misassignments, and school accountability report cards. The county superintendents must also determine whether the exceptions have been corrected or an acceptable plan of correction has been developed (Education Code section 41020(i)(2));
- Review audit exceptions related to attendance exceptions or issues that shall include, but are not limited to, those related to revenue limits, adult education, and independent study (Education Code section 41020(j)(1));
- Notify the local education agency and request the governing board of the local education agency to provide to the county superintendent of schools a description of the correction or plan of correction by March 15 (Education Code section 41020(j)(2));

- Review the description of the correction or plan of correction and determine its adequacy and, if its response was not adequate, require the local education agency to resubmit a portion of its response (Education Code section 41020(j)(3)); and
- By May 15, certify to the SPI and the SCO that the county has reviewed all applicable exceptions, and state that all exceptions have been corrected or an acceptable plan for correction has been submitted by the local education agency to the county superintendent, except as noted in the certification. In addition, identify by local education agency any attendance-related exceptions or exceptions involving state funds, and require the local education agency to submit the appropriate reporting forms to the SPI for processing (Education Code section 41020(k)).

Objective, Scope, and Methodology

Our review was conducted under the authority of Education Code section 41020(n). Our review scope was limited to determining whether or not the Tulare COE followed its audit resolution process in resolving audit exceptions. Our review did not include an evaluation of the sufficiency of the action taken by the local education agency and the Tulare COE to address each exception, nor did it assess the degree to which each exception was addressed. Specifically, our review was limited to the following procedures:

- Verifying that the Tulare COE addressed all attendance, inventory of equipment, internal control, and miscellaneous exceptions. In addition, we verified that the Tulare COE addressed any findings on instructional materials program funds, teacher reassignments, and the school accountability report cards. However, with respect to exceptions based on sample items, our review did not include a determination of whether or not the exception results were properly quantified and addressed at a districtwide or countywide level;
- Verifying that the Tulare COE notified local education agencies that they must submit completed corrective action forms to the Tulare COE by March 15, 2008, and March 15, 2009, for FY 2006-07 and FY 2007-08, respectively. Our review did not include an assessment of the local education agencies' progress with respect to taking corrective action;
- Verifying that the Tulare COE required the local education agencies to submit the appropriate reporting forms to the SPI for any attendance-related exceptions that affect state funding; and
- Reviewing the letters of certification due on May 15, 2008, and May 15, 2009, that the Tulare COE sent to the SPI and the SCO with respect to any resolved and unresolved audit exceptions.

Conclusion

Our review disclosed that the Tulare COE followed its audit resolution process for FY 2006-07 and FY 2007-08. As a result, the Tulare COE was in compliance with Education Code section 41020 for FY 2006-07 and FY 2007-08. We made no additional determination regarding the Tulare COE's audit resolution process beyond the scope of the review outlined above.

**Views of
Responsible
Official**

We discussed our conclusion with John Wilborn, Director, External Business Services, Tulare COE, in a telephone conference held on June 17, 2010. Mr. Wilborn generally agreed with the conclusion and authorized issuance of the final report.

Restricted Use

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Tulare COE, the California Department of Education, the California Department of Finance, and the SCO; it is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not meant to limit distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Original signed by

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD
Chief, Division of Audits

July 9, 2010

**State Controller's Office
Division of Audits
Post Office Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250-5874**

<http://www.sco.ca.gov>